

# Canadian Journal of Disability Studies

# Published by the Canadian Disability Studies Association Association canadienne d'études sur le handicap

# Hosted by The University of Waterloo

www.cjds.uwaterloo.ca

# Accessibility of Canadian COVID-19 Testing Locations for People with Disabilities During the Third Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic

Sara Rotenberg Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, sara.rotenberg@phc.ox.ac.uk

> Jane Cooper, Faculty of Law, University of Toronto janekatherinecooper@gmail.com

Matthew B. Downer Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, matthew.downer@bnc.ox.ac.uk

## **Corresponding Author:**

Sara Rotenberg, sara.rotenberg@phc.ox.ac.uk Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG, United Kingdom

# Abstract

**Background:** Canadians with disabilities make up nearly a quarter of the population yet faced barriers in accessing information about COVID-19 testing accessibility across the country. **Objective:** This study aimed to understand the availability of accessibility information for COVID-19 testing sites in Canada. To date, no known studies have evaluated the availability of accessibility information online.

**Methods:** An environmental scan of COVID-19 testing websites was conducted (1) nationally through the provincial/territorial websites for COVID-19 testing and (2) in Ontario, where there was individual location information. Data on key accessibility features were extracted from the website to simulate the user experience of booking a COVID-19 test during March 2021. **Results:** All provinces and territories provided minimal accessibility information on their provincial or territorial COVID-19 testing websites. Only Ontario included accessibility information for individual testing locations. Out of 170 individual testing locations in Ontario, few had information about accessibility, with only 8.2% listing at least 3 of the 5 key accessibility features measured on their websites.

**Conclusions:** This study demonstrates that, more than a year into the pandemic, there existed a clear lack of accessibility information for testing locations for Canadians with disabilities.

**Keywords:** Accessibility; COVID-19 Testing; Health Equity; Health Access; People with Disabilities; Health Communication

#### Introduction

COVID-19 has had a significant and disproportionate impact on people with disabilities. While Canadian data is lacking on specific impacts on people with disabilities, the risk of death from COVID-19 in England was 3.1 times greater for men with disabilities and 3.5 times greater for women with disabilities than for the non-disabled population through 2020 (Bosworth et al., 2021). Globally, the risk of contracting SARS-CoV-2 and adverse COVID-19 outcomes is also higher for people with disabilities due to factors including place of residence, in-person care requirements, and high rates of chronic health conditions. Other studies have highlighted the broad social impacts, such as decreased access to health and social care services, unemployment, and violence throughout the pandemic (Shakespeare et al., 2021).

Testing has been a critical component of the "find-test-trace-isolate" paradigm to reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and protect at-risk populations, like people with disabilities. A key tenet of the Canadian testing strategy has been the rapid and widespread creation of testing centers across the country (Health Canada, 2021). However, there have been limited efforts to increase accessibility of testing locations and programs for individuals with disabilities, despite the fact that people with disabilities make up 22% of the Canadian population (Statistics Canada, 2018), and many require support to access testing because of various accessibility requirements (Kamalakannan et al., 2021). Having information about accessibility online is a critical component of ensuring there are incentives to get tested, as these barriers will hamper an individual's intentions, regardless of their desire to get tested. When applied to Fishbein and Ajzen's Theory of Reasoned Action (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1980), we see that without information

about accessibility online (subjective norms), there are perceived or real barriers that impact people with disabilities' decisions and ability to get tested for COVID-19.

Improving the accessibility of Canada's testing locations is important from a legal and ethical perspective for both COVID-19 and future public health emergencies (*Accessible Canada Act*, 2019; *Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act*, 2005; Doyle, 2021). This study aimed to examine information about accessibility of testing locations in Canada for people with disabilities. It did so by conducting an environmental scan of provincial/territorial COVID-19 testing websites, and in more detail in one jurisdiction, Ontario, where location-level data were available. Ultimately, these insights are important for improving the accessibility of testing locations in the future, improving ongoing COVID-19 testing and vaccination locations, and fostering the design of better, more accessible health emergency preparedness and response efforts for people with disabilities.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Data Collection and Extraction

Given the lack of uniform accessibility guidelines in Canada, the authors devised a framework outlining what an accessible testing centre would look like by examining each step of the testing journey—from information and decision to seek care to receiving results—and how principles of accessibility within the physical, social, and sensory environments and communication could be assessed (Supplementary Table 1). This definition incorporates accommodations for as diverse a group of disabilities as possible, using multiple sources, including lived experience from the authors, guidelines from the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (*Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act*, 2005), the Americans with Disabilities Act (Institute for Human

Centered Design, 2017), guidance developed specifically for accessible COVID-19 testing and vaccine locations (Employment and Social Development Canada, 2020; McKee et al., 2021), and an ethical framework for public health (Kass 2001). These sources highlight the key accessibility features, as well as legal requirements, which allowed us to develop a framework on which to measure testing location accessibility. The full framework was developed by the authors, with experts consulting on the framework, which was further refined, adapted, and published for vaccine accessibility (Rotenberg et al, 2021a). From this, we selected key indicators to serve as a proxy for perceived accessibility of testing locations. Criteria that seemed infeasible to measure online (i.e., slope of ramp, clear mask usage, etc.) were excluded from the list of indicators. While this may not be fully representative of accessibility at testing locations, this process mimics how people with disabilities would learn about the accessibility of their local testing locations, ultimately impacting their decision of whether or not to get tested.

A two-phase approach was adopted to investigate the online information about accessibility at Canadian COVID-19 testing locations.

First, we visited all provincial or territorial COVID-19 websites to ascertain what information about testing was available on March 20, 2021. For each website, we noted what about accessibility was posted, including information about booking and testing formats, information regarding accessible entrances, American Sign Language (ASL) interpretation, and other critical accessibility features at the province-level or by location, or if there was accessibility information for the individual testing locations in the province/territory.

Second, any province or territory that provided location-specific accessibility information in the pan-Canadian scan (stage 1) was included in the final phase that examined accessibility features

at each individual testing site. In this phase, Ontario—the only province/territory that provided location-specific websites for each community lab or assessment centres for people with symptoms—was included. Ontario's provincial portal with testing locations was examined for location-specific accessibility practices based on the framework on March 20, 2021 and checked again on March 28, 2021. Any pharmacies offering asymptomatic testing were excluded, leaving 170 total number of testing locations listed on the Ontario Provincial website. For all individual testing locations, the following information was extracted from websites that provided accessibility information: appointment booking (appointment only or walk-in), drive-through testing availability, contact telephone number provided, wheelchairs available on site, booking format (telephone vs web-based), wheelchair-accessible entrance stated, care partners permitted, different testing modalities available, and whether ASL/interpreters were available. We also tabulated the number of locations that included information on multiple accessibility-related practices (multiple booking formats, wheelchair-accessible entrance, care partner permitted, multiple testing modalities, ASL interpreters available). These factors were selected because they were most widely available, most likely to be advertised on a website, and were seen as feasible interventions to enhance accessibility. Where possible, individual testing units where further categorized by public health unit for further analysis. All data extraction was performed by three authors (Author 1, Author 2, Author 3). To ensure inter-rater reliability, all provincial websites and 15 location sites were randomly selected and independently rated to ensure identical information was extracted. For the two location-specific conflicts, these locations were rechecked by both reviewers. No additional research (i.e. site visits, interviews, etc.) was conducted, as we aimed to simulate what it would be like to determine the accessibility of a testing location in the way a user would themselves.

### Results

#### Phase 1: Pan-Canadian Scan - Province/Territory Level Accessibility Information

The accessibility information provided on all provincial and territorial COVID-19 testing websites is outlined in **Table 1**. Of the thirteen provincial/territorial websites, nine provided no accessibility information on their website. Both Newfoundland and Labrador and Yukon had websites that only included information in ASL. No websites had easy-to-read information specific to testing. Nova Scotia's testing website provided high-level accessibility information, including a detailed process description, a support animal policy, and information on how to request assistance if required. Only Ontario provided both provincial-level and location-specific accessibility information, and, therefore, was the only province or territory included in the second phase of data extraction and analysis.

## Phase 2: Accessibility of Individual COVID-19 Testing Sites

The accessibility features of the individual testing sites examined in Ontario are found in **Table 2.** 170 symptomatic and community testing locations were examined. Overall, sites varied widely with regards to accessibility information provided on their respective websites. The most parts of the framework that was available was a contact telephone number included (92.4%), while the least common feature was advertising whether or not a wheelchair available on site (0%). 33 (19.4%) of sites included any accessibility features examined, and only 14 (8.2%) included three or more.

#### Discussion

This study demonstrates the limited information regarding accessibility of testing locations in Canada. At a national level, few provinces/territories provided any accessibility considerations on their respective testing websites, with most having no information on the accessibility of specific locations. Since only Ontario has location-level data for its assessment centres, it is difficult to both understand and measure the accessibility of testing locations from a national perspective.

This has particular ramifications for people with disabilities across Canada, as inaccessible information and environments are significant barriers to equitable care (Kuper & Heydt, 2019). In particular, these data demonstrate how each step in the testing journey presents unique barriers to people with disabilities, which has implications in accessing treatment—an important step in the test-treat-trace framework for this at-risk population. A year into the pandemic, it was deeply concerning that these gaps existed for people with disabilities and likely continue to exist more than two years into the pandemic.

Limited accessible information or location-specific guidance at most people's first point of entry—provincial websites—is a significant barrier to the decision and ability to seek testing. Phone numbers and multiple ways of booking are important and are disabilities. Although some people may find ways to access testing through their doctors or PHUs, each location's website does not have information on accessibility, which can act as simple interventions. It is notable that almost all Ontario locations provided a phone number and half the locations allowed for multiple ways of booking. However, 47.1% of locations only allowed for online booking, which can be inaccessible to some people with disabilities. Considering most locations require an appointment time (85.9%), multiple booking modalities are important to ensure testing is universally accessible.

Information about the location, testing method, and ability to bring care partners are also critical aspects of the decision and ability to seek testing. While drive-through testing may be more accessible to wheelchair users where accessible transport is provided, or people overwhelmed by sensory stimuli in new environments, it is important to note that this may be inaccessible to

people with disabilities who are unable to drive (i.e. people with visual impairments or epilepsy). Thus, while it was good that several locations (24.1%) had this option, it should not be the only accessible solution. Conversely, for the testing modality, very few (1.2%) noted alternative testing modalities, such as saliva or swish-and-gargle formats, which may be more acceptable to people with sensory impairments (Thom & Turner, 2020), or ventilator users (SickKids Hospital, 2021). Finally, many people require care partners and/or service animals in order to have full access to environments. Little information on whether it was permitted to bring a care partner was available online; only 2.4% noted it was allowed to bring someone for support, and 1.8% actively discouraged it. While there are legitimate reasons for limiting the number of individuals at testing locations, it is important that these public health measures are balanced with access considerations for people who require this accommodation.

In terms of the accessible waiting line, approach, and entrance, we included several considerations. For instance, 64.7% of Ontario locations noted that they were physically accessible for wheelchair users or people who use mobility aids. This provides good insight into the accessibility of the location, but takes a narrow, binary definition of accessibility. For example, there was no information on wheelchairs availability; seating for those who cannot stand for long periods of time; or tactile guidance for people with visual impairments. Further, there was limited information about the inside of the facilities themselves, such as what the sensory environment would be like, which can deter people with sensory sensitivities, such as Autism, from seeking care (26). While our framework also notes the importance of having large signs; greeters; reduced sensory environments; clear masks and other methods of communication; strict public health measures, and other features, these were difficult to ascertain from provincial or location-specific websites.

In terms of the testing experience and providing results, limited information was publicly available online. While this does not necessarily indicate that locations are inaccessible, the lack of information may discourage individuals from seeking testing. For example, providing information on what to expect at each stage of the testing process and how results would be communicated, is helpful to ensure the individual understands what type of barriers may or may not exist in the testing environment. Outlining the procedure and public health measures are particularly important for people with disabilities who are at higher risk if they contract COVID-19 and/or who have difficulty waiting or being in overly stimulating sensory environments. Having more information available to walk people through the testing experience (videos, photos, text, etc.) can be helpful to ensuring access and removing barriers for those who need to get tested.

Moreover, communication is an integral component of the COVID-19 testing continuum. Not only are aids required to help people with sensory disabilities that impact communication, but assistance is also important for people who have difficulty comprehending information or responding to questions. As a result, locations should explain screening questions and testing procedures in multiple ways. Unfortunately, only 10.0% of Ontario testing locations stated that they have ASL interpreters available, and little to no information on other communication methods, such as availability of clear masks and clipboards.

Overall, limited information was available on the accessibility of COVID-19 testing locations in Canada. While these results demonstrate several concrete gaps in testing location accessibility, these findings are also highly relevant to COVID-19 vaccination locations, which have become increasingly important as jurisdictions around the world continue to expand their COVID-19

vaccination programs (Rotenberg et al., 2021a). In addition, there is currently limited evidence or best-practices regarding how vaccination will be accessible. Findings from the present study can help inform how small changes can make existing locations accessible, as well as defining criteria for opening future, accessible locations.

#### Knowledge Gaps and Future Directions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the accessibility of COVID-19 testing locations for people with disabilities. The current inaccessibility of mainstream testing locations suggests it might be more feasible and accessible to formulate a twin-track approach to both testing and vaccination locations. That is, not only trying to enhance the accessibility of communications, vaccination locations, and campaigns where possible to make them universally accessible, but also improving targeted interventions to reach all people with disabilities. This may include home visits for testing and vaccination, separate locations with trained staff, or specialized hours for those with disabilities, including slots with reduced sensory stimuli. This approach ensures that everyone with a disability has access to the critical testing and vaccination resources not only during COVID-19, but also beyond to ensure more equitable access to healthcare for people with disabilities. Overall, there is limited evidence that people with disabilities and accessibility were adequately considered in the COVID-19 pandemic response across jurisdictions. In the future, studies should further examine how health environments-including those set up in pandemics and other health emergencies—are in-line with accessibility laws and health equity principles to ensure universal access for people with disabilities.

#### Limitations

This study was exclusively conducted online, using only publicly available information. While this simulates the user's experience of trying to find an accessible testing location and decide whether or not to get tested, it may not sufficiently capture the full accessibility or inaccessibility of a location. In addition, several locations noted that additional needs could be met in order to accommodate people with disabilities, but where these measures were not clear or publicly detailed online.

#### Conclusion

Despite the fact that Canadians with disabilities make up 22% of the population (Government of Canada, 2018), and strong legal frameworks such as the Accessible Canada Act exist to ensure accessibility (Accessible Canada Act, 2019), the present work suggests COVID-19 testing locations across Canada fell short of providing information on universal accessibility. The framework we used to evaluate these recommendations highlights several key specific recommendations that would make each phase of the testing process accessible to people with disabilities, across impairment type (Rotenberg et al., 2021a). Many of these suggestions are low-cost or would require low effort to implement, as they are structural and behavioural changes, as opposed to physical ones. In particular, increasing the modalities in which information, booking, and results are available; clear guidance and support at the testing location; and more alternative options for people with disabilities (i.e., home testing, alternative locations, etc.) would be helpful, immediate steps to improve access to testing. On the whole, these findings offer a key example of how a rapidly initiated COVID-19 testing program failed to center accessibility in their design and implementation. Moving forward, testing and vaccination programs around the world need to actively build accessible and universal design

into all phases of their COVID-19 response, particularly testing and vaccination locations (Rotenberg et al., 2021a; Rotenberg et al., 2021b). The accessibility pillars and considerations set forth in this paper may be useful in designing and implementing universally accessible testing centers and preparing more robust, accessible plans for future emergencies and epidemics.

**Contributions:** SR conceived the study. SR, MBD, and JC developed the framework and collected data. All authors made important intellectual contributions and reviewed the final version before submitting.

**Funding and Conflicts:** SR and MBD receive funding from the Rhodes Trust. All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge Drs. Yona Lunsky and Irfan Dhalla for their feedback and Tingting Yan for her assistance.

#### **References:**

Accessible Canada Act 2019 (S.C.) c. 10.

Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act 2005 (S.O) c.11.

- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior (Revised edition). Pearson.
- Banks, L. M., Kuper, H., & Polack, S. (2017). Poverty and disability in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE*, 12(12), e0189996.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189996

Bosworth, M. L., Ayoubkhani, D., Nafilyan, V., Foubert, J., Glickman, M., Davey, C., & Kuper, H. (2021). Deaths involving COVID-19 by self-reported disability status during the first two waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in England: A retrospective, population-based cohort study. *The Lancet Public Health*, 6(11), e817–e825.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00206-1

Doyle, J. (2021). A Complete Overview of Canada's Accessibility Laws.

https://siteimprove.com/en-ca/blog/a-complete-overview-of-canada-s-accessibility-laws/

- Employment and Social Development Canada. (2020, November 27). *COVID-19 Disability Advisory Group report*—2020. <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-</u> <u>development/corporate/disability-advisory-group/reports/2020-advisory-group-</u> report.html
- Government of Canada, (2018). A demographic, employment and income profile of Canadians with disabilities aged 15 years and over, 2017.

https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/catalogue/89-654-X2018002

Health Canada. (2021, April 8). Strategies to optimize Canadian COVID-19 testing and screening: Report. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/drugs-healthproducts/covid19-industry/medical-devices/testing-screening-advisory-panel/reportssummaries/priority-strategies.html

Institute for Human Centered Design. (2017). *ADA Checklists for Existing Facilities* [2017]. Retrieved November 26, 2021, from https://www.adachecklist.org/

Kamalakannan, S., Bhattacharjya, S., Bogdanova, Y., Papadimitriou, C., Arango-Lasprilla, J. C., Bentley, J., Jesus, T. S., & Refugee Empowerment Task Force, I. N. G. of the A. C. of R. M. (2021). Health Risks and Consequences of a COVID-19 Infection for People with Disabilities: Scoping Review and Descriptive Thematic Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(8), 4348. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084348

- Kass, N. E. (2001). An Ethics Framework for Public Health. *American Journal of Public Health*, *91*(11), 1776–1782.
- Kuper, H. & Heydt, P. (2019). The Missing Billion: Access to Health Services for 1 BillionPeople with Disabilities. *The Missing Billion*.

https://www.lshtm.ac.uk/TheMissingBillion

McKee, M., Okanlami, O., & Zazove, P. (2021). *Guidelines for Accessible COVID-19 Testing & Vaccination Sites*. <u>https://doi.org/10.7302/231</u>

Rotenberg, S., Downer, M. B., Brown, H., Cooper, J., Campanella, S., Safar, Y., Katz, G. M., Bell, S., Porch, W., Razak, F., Rochon, P. A., Schull, M., Stall, N. M., & Lunsky, Y.

Thom, R., & Turner, K. (2020, June 10). Helping people with autism spectrum disorder manage masks and COVID-19 tests. Harvard Health. <u>https://www.health.harvard.edu/blog/helping-people-with-autism-spectrum-disorder-manage-masks-and-covid-19-tests-2020061020089</u>

(2021a). *COVID-19 Vaccination for People with Disabilities*. Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table. <u>https://doi.org/10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.35.1.0</u>

Rotenberg, S., Downer, M. B., & Cooper, J. (2021b). Making COVID-19 vaccinations accessible for people with disabilities. *Vaccine*, 39(40), 5727–5728.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.08.062

Shakespeare, T., Ndagire, F., & Seketi, Q. E. (2021). Triple jeopardy: Disabled people and the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet*, *397*(10282), 1331–1333.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00625-5

- SickKids Hospital. (2020). COVID-19: Guidelines for the Delivery of Home and Community Care Services for Children with Medical Complexity at School. SickKids Hospital. https://www.sickkids.ca/siteassets/news/news-archive/2020/covid19-school-guidelinesmedical-complexity.pdf
- Statistics Canada. (2018, November 28). A demographic, employment and income profile of Canadians with disabilities aged 15 years and over, 2017.

https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/catalogue/89-654-X2018002

<b>Province/Territory</b>	Accessibility Information Provided		
Alberta	None		
British Columbia	None		
Manitoba	None		
New Brunswick	None		
NL	ASL-specific support lines available		
Northwest Territories	None		
Nova Scotia	Some accessibility information listed (Provincial-Level)		
Nunavut	None		
	Some accessibility information listed (Provincial-Level and Location-		
Ontario	Specific)		
PEI	None		
Quebec	None		
Saskatchewan	None		
Yukon	Information in ASL format only		
<sup>1</sup> NL - Newfoundland and Labrador; PEI - Prince Edward Island, ASL- American Sign Language			

**Table 1:** Accessibility Information Provided By Provincial Testing Websites (as of March 28, 2021)

Appointment Booking Appointment Only No Info $(N=170)$ Appointment Only No Info $146 (85.9\%)$ 24 (14.1%)           Drive-Through Testing Used Yes $41 (24.1\%)$ 129 (75.9%)           Contact Telephone # Provided Yes $129 (75.9\%)$ No $129 (75.9\%)$ Wheelchair Available On Site No Info $1 (0.6\%)$ Wheelchair Available On Site No Info $1 (0.6\%)$ Booking Format $0$ Phone $67 (39.4\%)$ 3 (1.6%)           Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0%)$ Phone and Web Both Required Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ 3 (1.8%)           Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated Yes $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info           No Info $163 (95.9%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info           StL/Interpreters Available $Yes$ $Ves$ $2 (1.2%)No Info           Multiple Accessibility Services1         Ves 0 33 (19.4%) 1 70 (41.2%) 2 55 (52.4%) $	Accessibility Service	Number (%) of Locations Providing Service	
Appointment Booking         146 (85.9%)           No Info         24 (14.1%)           Drive-Through Testing Used         24 (14.1%)           Yes         129 (75.9%)           Contact Telephone # Provided $Ves$ Yes         157 (92.4%)           No         12 (7.1%)           No Info         1 (0.6%)           Wheelchair Available On Site $No$ No Info         170 (100%)           Booking Format $0$ Phone         67 (39.4%)           Web         13 (7.6%)           Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone on Web Both Required         2 (1.2%)           No Info         3 (1.8%)           Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Yes$ No Info         60 (35.3%)           Care Partner Permitted $Yes$ Yes         2 (1.2%)           No         163 (95.9%)           Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$ Yes         2 (1.2%)           No         163 (95.9%)           Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$		(N=170)	
Appointment Only No Info       146 (85.9%)         No Info       24 (14.1%)         Drive-Through Testing Used $24$ (14.1%)         Yes       41 (24.1%)         No       129 (75.9%)         Contact Telephone # Provided $157$ (92.4%)         No       12 (7.1%)         No Info       1 (0.6%)         Wheelchair Available On Site $N$ No Info       1 (0.6%)         Booking Format $700 (100\%)$ Booking Format $700 (100\%)$ Phone or Web Options       85 (50.0%)         Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $7es$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No Info       100 (64.7%)         No Info       103 (95.9%)         Different Permitted $7es$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info       163 (95.9%)         Different Testing Modalities Available $7es$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No       153 (90.0%)         Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $70$ 0 <th>Appointment Booking</th> <th></th>	Appointment Booking		
No Info         24 (14.1%)           Drive-Through Testing Used         -           Yes         41 (24.1%)           No         129 (75.9%)           Contact Telephone # Provided         -           Yes         157 (92.4%)           No         12 (7.1%)           No Info         1 (0.6%)           Wheelchair Available On Site         -           No Info         100.6%)           Booking Format         -           Phone         67 (39.4%)           Web         13 (7.6%)           Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone or Web Options         0 (1.2%)           No Info         2 (1.2%)           No Info         60 (35.3%)           Care Partner Permitted         -           Yes         4 (2.4%)           No         163 (95.9%)           Different Testing Modalities Available         -           Yes         17 (10.0%)           No         153 (90.0%)           Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> -           0         33 (19.4%)           1         70 (41.2%)           2         55 (3	Appointment Only	146 (85.9%)	
Drive-Through Testing Used $Yes$ 41 (24.1%)           No         129 (75.9%)           Contact Telephone # Provided $127(7.9\%)$ No         12 (7.1%)           No Info         1 (0.6%)           Wheelchair Available On Site $1000\%$ No Info         170 (100%)           Booking Format $000\%$ Phone         67 (39.4%)           Web         13 (7.6%)           Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone and Web Both Required         2 (1.2%)           No Info         3 (1.8%)           Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Yes$ Yes         4 (2.4%)           No         10 (64.7%)           No Info         60 (35.3%)           Care Partner Permitted $Yes$ Yes         2 (1.2%)           No         163 (95.9%)           Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$ Yes         17 (10.0%)           No Info         153 (90.0%)           Molitiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ $33 (19.4\%)$ 1         70 (41.2\%)           2         55 (32.4\%)	No Info	24 (14.1%)	
Yes $41 (24.1\%)$ No       129 (75.9%)         Contact Telephone # Provided $157 (92.4\%)$ No $12 (7.1\%)$ No Info $1 (0.6\%)$ Wheelchair Available On Site $0 (100\%)$ Booking Format $0 (170 (100\%)$ Booking Format $0 (170 (100\%)$ Phone $67 (39.4\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $4 (2.4\%)$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $1 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $1 (2.2\%)$ $0$ $33 (19.4\%)$ $1 (2.2\%)$ $3 (14.8.2\%)$	Drive-Through Testing Used		
No         129 (75.9%)           Contact Telephone # Provided $157 (92.4\%)$ No         12 (7.1%)           No Info         12 (7.1%)           No Info         10.6%)           Wheelchair Available On Site $12 (7.1\%)$ No Info         100.6%)           Booking Format $70 (100\%)$ Booking Format $70 (100\%)$ Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone or Web Options         85 (50.0%)           Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Ves$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $Ves$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $Ves$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $10 (33 (19.4\%)$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0 (33 (19.4\%)$ $1 (2 (2 (3 ($	Yes	41 (24.1%)	
Contact Telephone # Provided         Yes $157 (92.4\%)$ No $12 (7.1\%)$ $100 (100\%)$ No Info $100 (100\%)$ Wheelchair Available On Site $100 (100\%)$ Booking Format $00 (170 (100\%))$ Booking Format $00 (170 (100\%))$ Phone $67 (39.4\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $100 (64.7\%)$ Yes $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $110 (64.7\%)$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No $10 (64.7\%)$ <td>No</td> <td>129 (75.9%)</td>	No	129 (75.9%)	
Yes $157 (92.4\%)$ No $12 (7.1\%)$ No Info $1 (0.6\%)$ Wheelchair Available On Site $170 (100\%)$ Booking Format $770 (100\%)$ Booking Format $770 (100\%)$ Phone $67 (39.4\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $110 (64.7\%)$ Yes $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $2 (1.2\%)$ No $3 (18\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $Yes$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Mol Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $70 (41.2\%)$ $3$ $14 (8.2\%)$	<b>Contact Telephone # Provided</b>		
No $12 (7.1\%)$ No Info         1 (0.6%)           Wheelchair Available On Site $100 (100\%)$ Booking Format $170 (100\%)$ Booking Format $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone $67 (39.4\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Yes$ Yes $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $Ves$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No <info< td=""> <math>163 (95.9\%)</math>           Different Testing Modalities Available         <math>Ves</math>           Yes         <math>2 (1.2\%)</math>           No         <math>168 (98.8\%)</math>           ASL/Interpreters Available         <math>Ves</math>           Yes         <math>17 (10.0\%)</math>           No Info         <math>153 (90.0\%)</math>           Multiple Accessibility Services<sup>1</sup> <math>0</math>           0         <math>33 (19.4\%)</math>           1         <math>70 (41.2\%)</math>           2         <math>55 (32.4\%)</math>           3</info<>	Yes	157 (92.4%)	
No Info $1 (0.6\%)$ Wheelchair Available On Site $No$ Info $170 (100\%)$ Booking Format $700 (100\%)$ Booking Format $130 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $67 (39.4\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $W$ Yes $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $U$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $U$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $U$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ $0$ $33 (19.4\%)$ $1$ $0$ $33 (19.4\%)$ $14 (8.2\%)$	No	12 (7.1%)	
Wheelchair Available On Site         No Info         170 (100%)           Booking Format $700 (100\%)$ $700 (100\%)$ Booking Format $700 (100\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ $700 (100\%)$ Wheel Chair Stated $85 (50.0\%)$ $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Ves$ $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ $168 (98.8\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$ $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $Yes$ $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ $11 - 70 (41.2\%)$ $0$ $33 (19.4\%)$ $1$ $0$ $33 (19.4\%)$ $14 (8.2\%)$	No Info	1 (0.6%)	
No Info $170 (100\%)$ Booking Format $(739.4\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $(760, 3.2\%)$ Vheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $(760, 3.2\%)$ Ves $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $(2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $(2.1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $(2.1.2\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $(33 (19.4\%))$ $1$ $70 (41.2\%)$ $2$ $55 (32.4\%)$ $3$ $14 (8.2\%)$	Wheelchair Available On Site		
Booking Format $67 (39.4\%)$ Web $13 (7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85 (50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2 (1.2\%)$ No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $4 (2.4\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $2 (1.2\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $70 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $3 (19.4\%)$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	No Info	170 (100%)	
B       67 (39.4%) $Web$ 13 (7.6%) $Phone or Web Options$ 85 (50.0%) $Phone and Web Both Required$ 2 (1.2%) $No Info$ 3 (1.8%)         Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Yes$ $Yes$ 110 (64.7%) $No Info$ 60 (35.3%)         Care Partner Permitted $4$ (2.4%) $No$ 3 (1.8%) $No Info$ 163 (95.9%)         Different Testing Modalities Available $2$ (1.2%) $No$ 168 (98.8%)         ASL/Interpreters Available $7$ (10.0%) $No Info$ 153 (90.0%)         Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $3$ $0$ $33 (19.4%)$ $1$ $70 (41.2%)$ $2$ $55 (32.4%)$	Booking Format		
Web $13(7.6\%)$ Phone or Web Options $85(50.0\%)$ Phone and Web Both Required $2(1.2\%)$ No Info $3(1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $2(1.2\%)$ Yes $110(64.7\%)$ No Info $60(35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4(2.4\%)$ No $3(1.8\%)$ No Info $163(95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2(1.2\%)$ No $163(95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2(1.2\%)$ No $168(98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $7(10.0\%)$ No Info $153(90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33(19.4\%)$ 1 $70(41.2\%)$ 2 $55(32.4\%)$ 3 $14(8.2\%)$	Phone	67 (39.4%)	
Phone or Web Options       85 (50.0%)         Phone and Web Both Required       2 (1.2%)         No Info       3 (1.8%)         Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated       110 (64.7%)         Yes       60 (35.3%)         Care Partner Permitted       4 (2.4%)         No       No         No       163 (95.9%)         Different Testing Modalities Available       2 (1.2%)         Yes       2 (1.2%)         No       163 (95.9%)         Different Testing Modalities Available       2 (1.2%)         Yes       2 (1.2%)         No       163 (95.9%)         Different Testing Modalities Available       2 (1.2%)         Yes       168 (98.8%)         ASL/Interpreters Available       153 (90.0%)         No Info       153 (90.0%)         Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> 3         0       33 (19.4%)         1       70 (41.2%)         2       55 (32.4%)         3       14 (8.2%)	Web	13 (7.6%)	
Phone and Web Both Required No Info $2 (1.2\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated Yes $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $7 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Phone or Web Options	85 (50.0%)	
No Info $3 (1.8\%)$ Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $110 (64.7\%)$ Yes $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Phone and Web Both Required	2(1.2%)	
Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated $Yes$ $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	No Info	3 (1.8%)	
Yes $110 (64.7\%)$ No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Wheelchair-accessible Entrance Stated		
No Info $60 (35.3\%)$ Care Partner Permitted $60 (35.3\%)$ Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Yes	110 (64.7%)	
Care Partner Permitted $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $3 (19.4\%)$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	No Info	60 (35.3%)	
Yes $4 (2.4\%)$ No $3 (1.8\%)$ No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Care Partner Permitted		
No $3(1.8\%)$ No Info $163(95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $2(1.2\%)$ No $168(98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17(10.0\%)$ No Info $153(90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33(19.4\%)$ 1 $70(41.2\%)$ 2 $55(32.4\%)$ 3 $14(8.2\%)$	Yes	4(24%)	
No Info $163 (95.9\%)$ Different Testing Modalities Available $163 (95.9\%)$ Yes $2 (1.2\%)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	No	3 (1.8%)	
Different Testing Modalities Available $Yes$ $2(1.2\%)$ No $168(98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17(10.0\%)$ Yes $17(10.0\%)$ No Info $153(90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33(19.4\%)$ 1 $70(41.2\%)$ 2 $55(32.4\%)$ 3 $14(8.2\%)$	No Info	163 (95 9%)	
Yes $2 (1.2%)$ $No$ $168 (98.8%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0%)$ $Yes$ $17 (10.0%)$ $No$ Info $153 (90.0%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ $0$ $33 (19.4%)$ $1$ $70 (41.2%)$ $2$ $55 (32.4%)$ $3$ $14 (8.2%)$	Different Testing Modalities Available		
No $163$ $2(1.2.0)$ No $168 (98.8\%)$ ASL/Interpreters Available $17 (10.0\%)$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $33 (19.4\%)$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Ves	2 (1 2%)	
ASL/Interpreters Available $100 (90.0\%)$ Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $0$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	No	168(98.8%)	
Yes $17 (10.0\%)$ No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $33 (19.4\%)$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	ASL/Interpreters Available	100 (30.070)	
No Info $153 (90.0\%)$ Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $33 (19.4\%)$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	Ves	17 (10.0%)	
Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup> $133 (90.0\%)$ 0 $33 (19.4\%)$ 1 $70 (41.2\%)$ 2 $55 (32.4\%)$ 3 $14 (8.2\%)$	No Info	153 (90.0%)	
0     33 (19.4%)       1     70 (41.2%)       2     55 (32.4%)       3     14 (8.2%)	Multiple Accessibility Services <sup>1</sup>	135 (50.070)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		22 (10, 40/)	
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \end{array}  $ $ \begin{array}{c} 70 (41.270) \\ 55 (32.4\%) \\ 14 (8.2\%) \end{array} $	1	70(41.20%)	
3    14 (8.2%)	1	55 (32 40%)	
J = 14(0.2%)	2	14 (9.20%)	
4+	J	1+ (0.270) Ω	

**Table 2:** Overview of Accessibility Information for Ontario Testing Locations (as of March 28, 2021)

<sup>1</sup>Includes multiple booking formats, accessible entrance, care partner permitted, multiple testing modalities, interpreters available

<sup>2</sup>ASL: American Sign Language

	Key Accessibility Pillars				
Phase	Physical	Environmental	Communication		
Accessible information and decision to seek care	<ul> <li>Accessible website (i.e., screen readable, no flashing components, keyboard input, alternative text, etc.)</li> <li>Wait time estimates</li> </ul>	• Web, phone, text, and primary care physician-based alternatives for booking test and/or information about testing	<ul> <li>Plain language and easy-to-read translation about when to get a test, how to get tested, and the testing experience</li> <li>Multiple, accessible formats of information, advertisements, and booking modalities (including information in multiple languages, text, pictorial representation, and text-to-speech compatible)</li> </ul>		
Accessible Line, Approach, and Entrance to testing center	<ul> <li>Accessible entrance (wide doorway, low-force or automatic doors, no steps, ramp, etc.)</li> <li>Signage indicating accessibility and how to request accommodations</li> <li>Nearby accessible parking and transportation stops</li> <li>Accessible waiting areas with chairs for those unable to stand in long lines</li> <li>Availability of wheelchairs on site to be used if needed,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide a number to call/text before arrival to get support, accommodations, or immediate service on arrival (i.e., mask wearing exemption, wheelchair assistance, etc.)</li> <li>Have greeters present to assist patients with visual, physical, or cognitive disabilities</li> <li>Ensure public health measures are strictly adhered to or enhance at certain</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear, large high- contrast signage indicating location of testing center, line start/end, directional traffic, protocols, required questions, etc.</li> <li>International Symbol of Accessibility at accessible entrance</li> <li>Clipboards, clear masks, and ASL interpreters to communicate with Deaf/hard of hearing patients</li> <li>Any critical written communication</li> </ul>		

# Supplementary Table 1: Accessible Testing Framework

	<ul> <li>and cleaned between uses</li> <li>Adequate spacing and strict public health measures to reduce exposure, particularly for high-risk individuals</li> <li>Tactile paving to guide people with visual disabilities</li> </ul>	<ul><li>locations for safety of higher-risk individuals</li><li>Provide a scent-free environment</li></ul>	should be available in braille cards or read to individuals with visual disabilities
Testing Experience	• Utilize different testing modalities for individuals using ventilators/oxygen prongs or with sensory impairments	<ul> <li>Provide safe environment for individuals who have difficulty wearing facemasks</li> <li>Separate table at each site that can accommodate individuals with disabilities (i.e., extra time, caregiver support, etc.)</li> <li>Ensure a quiet, reduced sensory environment is available</li> <li>Provide a rapid line or expedited service for people with disabilities who have difficulty waiting or being in the sensory environment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ensure informed consent is obtained and testing process is explained in clear, plain language</li> <li>Clipboards, clear masks, and ASL interpreters to communicate with Deaf/hard of hearing patients</li> </ul>
Providing Results	• Provide guidance and support on what to do if you require in-person care or caregiver support.		<ul> <li>Bulleted, large- print handouts and alternative formats are available for key information</li> <li>Plain language and easy-to-read translation about testing result and</li> </ul>